Written by Chris Perver Monday, 14 May 2007 17:00

A lot has been happening today in regards to the situation in the Middle

East. The Red Cross has published a

provocative paper claiming Israel is violating

international

law in East Jerusalem. Vice Premier Shimon Peres has announced

his intentions to run for

**President** 

And Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Olmert has announced he

is prepared to talk with the

Arab League

, any time, any where... But I thought I might comment on an email

I received from the BBC this morning. During Easter, the BBC broadcast a

series of radio programmes called Lent Talks. On one of these

programmes the openly homosexual bishop, Jeffery John,

gave his thoughts on the sacrifice

of Christ. As a seeming

affront to the person of Christ, the programme was

repeated on resurrection Sunday. But it's not the fact that Jeffrey John

repudiates the Biblical teaching on marriage that caused the most controversy, it

was what Jeffrey

had to say

about the Lord Jesus...

Quote: ""In other words, Jesus took the rap and we got forgiven as long as we said we believed in him," said John.

"This is repulsive as well as nonsensical. It makes God sound like a psychopath. If a human behaved like this we'd say that they [sic]

were a monster."

This was in fact exactly what the Lord Jesus Himself taught on many

occasions throughout the Gospels. I urged those who read this website to complain to the BBC, for their flagrant disregard of their own Royal

Charter, which stipulates that the

organizations has a duty

to respect the religious beliefs of its listeners. And

especially considering the broadcasting was taking place on one of

Christianity's most holy days, resurrection Sunday. That was the jist of my

email, and this morning - as expected - I received the standard BBC response...

response...

Quote: "Dear Mr Perver

Thank you for your e-mail regarding 'Lent Talks' transmitted

on the 4 April 2007. Firstly, please accept our apologies for

the delay in replying. We know our correspondents

appreciate a quick response and we are sorry you

have

Written by Chris Perver Monday, 14 May 2007 17:00

had to wait on this occasion.

I understand you have some concerns about the above, furthermore you felt it was inappropriate to include Jeffrey John as a speaker. The premise of 'Lent Talks' allow contributors to reflect on the mission and passion of Jesus from a personal perspective.

Contributors may come from any faith position, or from none. In his talk, Jeffrey John chose to distance himself from the view of "penal substitution" - that God required Jesus to accept the punishment due humans as a means of placating His wrath and satisfying to His justice. This understanding of the atonement is central to the belief of many Christians, but for many others it is not. Indeed the Church of England's own doctrine commission declared in 1995 that it was unhelpful and a hindrance to faith. The Bishop of Durham, Tom Wright, served on this commission. I appreciate you may continue to feel unhappy about this, therefore please that I have registered your complaint and be assured concerns on the daily log, this is an internal document which will be made available to the 'Lent Talks' production team as well as the senior management of the BBC. May I take this opportunity to thank you again for taking time to contact the BBC.

Regards Katherine Tsang

**BBC** Information

It makes me sad that the BBC can choose to ignore the beliefs of
"many Christians", and broadcast the repugnant views of Jeffrey
John on resurrection Sunday. And then, as was the case with the Jerry
Springer Opera, ignore countless thousands of complaints
from British
television licence payers. It has been said, only
in Northern Ireland can
you pay to be insulted. It was news
to me that the Church of England has
declared the belief in
the atonement to be an hindrance to the faith. But
searching the internet, I came across this article ...

Written by Chris Perver Monday, 14 May 2007 17:00

start

Quote: " More serious to traditional Christianity was

liberal criticism of belief in original sin, substitution atonement or hell.

According to F.D. Maurice such beliefs represented 'a monstrous

perversion' of Christianity for they stand in direct contradiction to the

primal and quite decisive Christian doctrine of the love of God. If we

from belief that 'God is actually love', we will shrink

from attributing to Him acts which would be unlovely in

man'. Maurice claimed that any doctrine

of the atonement

which presumes that sins cannot be forgiven unless

satisfaction is first paid contradicts the teaching of Jesus about being

merciful as your Father in heaven is merciful. He believed that the doctrine

of hell made a mockery of Jesus' picture of the loving fatherhood of

God. For if it were indeed the case that all humanity is

damned except those who accept Christ as their personal

saviour it would condemn 'most of the

жері Сппѕі as ineli personal American slaves.

and the whole body of Turks, Hindus, Hottentots and Jews...

to hopeless destruction'.

Without the atonement there is no salvation, for the Scriptures state

that it is the blood that makes atonement for the soul (Leviticus 17:11). Men such as

these in the Church of England cannot possibly believe in the

atonement, for a belief in the atonement requires first of all the belief in

a God that is absolutely holy and must punish sin. And if Jeffery John

believed God was holy, he could not possibly think that his own lifestyle

was right in the sight of God, for the Scriptures condemn it

completely. Thus men excuse their own sins by believing

that God will somehow turn a blind eye to them because He

is merciful, and could never create a place

like hell, or

punish a sinner for his sins. They do not realize the true

value of the death of Christ, nor do they choose to avail themselves of it.

As the Scriptures say, they have trampled under foot the Son of God, and

counted the blood of the Covenant an unholy thing (Hebrews 10:29).

1st Corinthians 6:9

Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the

kingdom of God? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor

idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of

themselves with mankind, Nor thieves, nor

drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, shall inherit the kingdom of God.

3/4

covetous, nor

Written by Chris Perver Monday, 14 May 2007 17:00

Source Inclusive Church