The former foreign minister of Finland, Erkki Tuomioja , has somewhat turned this 86% Lutheran country (don't ask about the born-again percentage) upside down with his recent statement, that the minds of children should not be poisoned with these Christian ideas.

I trust so many of his previous voters, being sincere Christians, would have liked to hear this word a little bit earlier in Tuomioja's career. He has now publicly identified himself as an atheist. A pamphlet against Christianity from Richard Dawkins, "The God Delusion", has encouraged Tuomioja to step out from the closet (sorry, in Finnish only). Furthermore, there's also an additional character in this Mr. Tuomioja - an explicit mission against the Judeo-Christian Lord.

Hearing this "warm message" of generations growing up their children in a "poisoning" way makes me breathless; and didn't we just get over another "poisoned case "?

Nine people died in a school shooting near our capital. What made it all almost impossible to cope with was, when it became public, that two of my colleagues' families had been hit hard. One family lost their son there and another their mum.

I wrote a short letter in our company's bulletin board - referring to a commentary in our known newspaper - not commenting on the seemingly justifiable ideas of that article, but in all, that the focus should be merely on criticizing that which was this unthinkable, horrible event's spine-chilling backcloth.

For this sad and appalling work's background - and what the doer himself admits - nazi-darwinistic-superman ideology, and thus killing the weak, has to be condemned.

On his website, the shooter has publicly announced his motives for the killing in Jokela. On his page the shooter shows himself being a steadfast evolutionist and being frustrated by the meaninglesness of his life - but applies his evolutionary philosophy in this shooting act, by eliminating the unfit individuals.

Quote: "Life is just meaningless coincidence... a result of long evolutive development and a result of many reasons and elements. However, life is also that, what an individual wants to do with it. And I am my own life's dictator and god. And I have chosen my way. I have prepared to fight and die for my thoughts. Myself, as natural chooser, eliminating everybody, whom I see unfit, disgrace to mankind and an error of natural selection... You can ask yourself, why did I do this and what do I want. Well, most of you are too arrogant and mentally remote, to be able to understand ... Obviously you will say, that I'm "insane", "mad", "psychopate, "criminal" or comparable rubbish. No, the truth is, that I'm just an animal, human being, individual, dissenter."

All this makes me so speechless.

John Blanchard wrote about this particular "life philosophy's" insanity in the following words ("Where was God on Sept 11th"):

"The Holocaust obviously raises huge questions for people who believe in God, but why should it cause any problem for atheists? If the British philosopher Bertrand Russell was right to dismiss man as ‘a curious accident in a backwater’, why should it matter in the least whether lives are ended slowly or suddenly, peacefully or painfully? If an atheist like the Oxford professor Peter Atkins is right in calling mankind ‘just a bit of slime on a planet’, why should we be remotely concerned at the systematic slaughter of six million Jews? Do we get traumatized when we see slime trodden on or shovelled down a drain?

British anthropologist Sir Arthur Keith said that Hitler "consciously sought to make the practices of Germany conform to the theory of atheistic evolution". As all atheists are evolutionists, this is highly embarrassing for them, for evolution says that humankind is simply the result of countless chemical and biological accidents. If this is true, how can human beings have any personal value, and why should we turn a hair if any regime disposes of them by the million? The modern American author Henry Morris writes, "In the biological theory of Darwin, Hitler found his most powerful weapon against human values," while an Auschwitz survivor says that its gas chambers "were the ultimate consequence of the theory that man is nothing but the product of heredity and environment".

Why should the Holocaust raise any ethical problems for the atheist? In a godless universe, what one ‘animal’ does to another ‘animal' is morally irrelevant — making it just as easy to commend the Holocaust as to condemn it. Although it caused appalling physical, mental and emotional pain and suffering, atheism has no way of declaring it to be radically wrong, for in the absence of absolute, transcendent ethics the word ‘wrong’ is meaningless. If we live in a world in which everything can be explained by physics, chemistry and biology, things we call ‘good’ and ‘evil’ are just impersonal, valueless data with no explanation. If there is no God, there is no universal moral law, and if there is no such law, nothing is essentially good or evil."

Now, we have a senior politician telling us, "change your ways". But we have a "testimony" of a teenager showing us which that "new way" leads.

In Jokela, his "own life's dictator and god" clearly made it known in which kind of "god" he believed: "Compared to you, backward masses, I am truly godlike". By the act of his conviction, he left to many a family memories ineradicable. That year Christmas didn't come for them. Instead came a present from Satan himself.

Don't get me wrong. I'm not against this politician. The only political party I have ever belonged to is the Party of Golgotha and my political view is the message of the middle cross. And that "party programme" of mine tells me: "Train up a child in the way he should go: and when he is old, he will not depart from it." (Prov. 22:6)

That Way is the only Way. And it is the only Truth. And it is the only Life Eternal.

"Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by Me." (John 14:6)