Pope Ratzinger has defended his beliefs in theistic

evolution

in an article in a German book called " Creation and Evolution "

today. The Catholic church has so far refused to be drawn into the

Creation-Evolution debate, preferring instead to praise scientific achievement while maintaining that "science" cannot answer

all our questions on origins.

Theistic evolution is the belief that God used the process of evolution to create the world.

Quote: ""Intelligent design" (ID) argues that

some forms of life are too complex to have evolved randomly, as Charles

Darwin proposed in his 1859 book " The Origin of Species. " It says

a higher intelligence must have done this but does not name it as

creationism, the view that

Scientists denounce this as a disguised form of God created the world

## just as the Bible says

God.

. US courts have ruled both views that cannot be taught in public creationism and ID are religious

school science classes

there. In the book, Benedict defended what is known

as

&guot;theistic evolution,&guot; the view held by Roman Catholic, Orthodox and mainline Protestant churches that God created life through evolution and

religion and science need not clash over this. "I would not

depend on remarked during the faith alone to explain the whole picture, & guot; he discussion held at the papal summer

palace in Castel Gandolfo outside Rome.

Even the author of this secular article seems to understand that the

Bible teaches God created the world in six days and rested on the seventh.

And the Pope, in all his wisdom and Scriptural knowledge, chooses to

believe to produce something He that God used a process of death, disease and decay called " very good"? The

event mentioned in the above article

refers to the US Judge

John E. Jones' ruling in December 2005, that schools

in

Dover District, Pennsylvania could not recite a disclaimer

to

pupils attending classes that taught the theory of evolution. The Judge

slammed the attempt to bring Intelligent Design into the National Curriculum

as promotion of religion and a violation of the separation between

Church and State.

Quote: "But the judge said: "We find that the

secular purposes claimed by the board amount to a pretext for the board's

real purpose, which was to promote religion in the public school classroom."

The disclaimer, he said, "singles out the theory of evolution for special treatment, misrepresents its status in the scientific community, causes students to doubt its validity without scientific justification, presents students with a religious alternative masquerading as a scientific theory, directs them to consult a creationist text as though it were a science resource and instructs students to forgo scientific inquiry in the public school classroom and instead to seek out religious instruction elsewhere.&quot:

Ironically, I agree with what the Judge states. You cannot divorce the

Creator from His creation. If you teach that the universe was created by a

God, then the next logical question is, Who is that God and why has He

created us? That's what the Bible states - the heavens declare the

glory of

God. If they didn't, they wouldn't be doing the job

God had intended for

them to do. But we must ask another

question. If Intelligent Design is true

- and there is much

more evidence for Intelligent Design than there is for

evolution, then where does this place the separation of Church and State? If

true science points to the existence of a Creator, and it is not permissible

to teach that there is a Creator, will Judges prohibit the teaching of

science? That is the next logical step.

Psalm 19:1-3

The heavens declare the glory of God; and the firmament sheweth his handywork. Day unto day uttereth speech, and night unto night sheweth knowledge. [There is] no speech nor language, [where] their voice is not heard.

Source IOL, MSNBC